

GLENDALE CITY COUNCIL MEETING Council Chambers 5850 West Glendale Avenue June 27, 2006

7:00 p.m.

CALL TO ORDER - PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF JUNE 13, 2006

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

CONSENT AGENDA

Items on the consent agenda are of a routine nature or have been previously studied by the City Council at a work session. They are intended to be acted upon in one motion. If you would like to comment on an item on the consent agenda, please come to the podium and state your name, address and item you wish to discuss.

- 1. LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-1059 YARD HOUSE GLENDALE
- 2. LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-1062 FRY'S FOOD & DRUG #6
- 3. LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-1063 GREAT WALL BUFFET
- 4. FUNDING FOR GLENDALE AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS
- 5. CONTRACT AMENDMENT BELL ROAD MEDIAN LANDSCAPING, 51^{ST} TO 67^{TH} AVENUES
- 6. PHASE TWO OF YOUTH SPORTS COMPLEX
- 7. RADIO SERVICE AGREEMENT

CONSENT RESOLUTIONS

8. 2006 HISTORIC PRESERVATION HERITAGE GRANT APPLICATION RESOLUTION

- 9. <u>AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE AMENDED AND RESTATED GILA RIVER INDIAN</u> COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT
- 10. GILA RIVER GENERAL STREAM ADJUDICATION LEGAL REPRESENTATION
- 11. LUKE AIR FORCE BASE BRIDGE OVER LITCHFIELD ROAD
- 12. THUNDERBIRD CONSERVATION PARK MASTER PLAN
- 13. NOTICE OF SEPTEMBER 12, 2006 PRIMARY ELECTION

PUBLIC HEARING - ORDINANCES

- 14. FISCAL YEAR 2006-07 PROPERTY TAX LEVY
- 15. <u>REZONING APPLICATION ZON04-13: COPPER COVE 9251 WEST MISSOURI AVENUE</u>
- 16. REZONING APPLICATION ZON05-25: 17248 NORTH 61ST AVENUE
- 17. <u>REZONING APPLICATION ZON05-23: 91 GLENDALE 9050 WEST GLENDALE AVENUE</u>
- 18. <u>REZONING APPLICATION ZON05-20: WOOLF CROSSING 15551 WEST OLIVE AVENUE</u>

ORDINANCES

- 19. ANNEXATION AREA NO. 160 ORDINANCE
- 20. SALT RIVER PROJECT ELECTRICAL EASEMENTS

PUBLIC HEARING – RESOLUTIONS

21. FINAL REVIEW AND ADOPTION OF FISCAL YEAR 2006-07 OPERATING BUDGET AND FISCAL YEAR 2007-2016 CAPITAL BUDGET

REQUEST FOR FUTURE WORKSHOP AND EXECUTIVE SESSION

CITIZEN COMMENTS

If you wish to speak on a matter concerning Glendale city government that is not on the printed agenda, please fill out a Citizen Comments Card located in the back of the Council Chambers and give it to the City Clerk before the meeting starts. The City Council can only act on matters that are on the printed agenda, but may refer the matter to the City Manager for follow up. Once your name is called by the Mayor,

proceed to the podium, state your name and address for the record and limit your comments to a period of five minutes or less.

COUNCIL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

ADJOURNMENT

Upon a public majority vote of a quorum of the City Council, the Council may hold an executive session, which will not be open to the public, regarding any item listed on the agenda but only for the following purposes:

- (i) discussion or consideration of personnel matters (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(1));
- (ii) discussion or consideration of records exempt by law from public inspection (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(2)):
- (iii) discussion or consultation for legal advice with the city's attorneys (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(3));
- (iv) discussion or consultation with the city's attorneys regarding the city's position regarding contracts that are the subject of negotiations, in pending or contemplated litigation, or in settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(4));
- (v) discussion or consultation with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations with employee organizations (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(5)); or
- (vi) discussing or consulting with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations for the purchase, sale or lease of real property (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(7)).

CALL TO ORDER – PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF JUNE 13, 2006

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

Purpose: This is a request for City Council to approve the recommended appointments to the following boards and commissions that have a vacancy or expired term and for the Mayor to administer the oath of office to those appointees in attendance.

			Effective Date	Expiration Date		
Arts Commission						
Jacqueline Markowitz	Sahuaro	Re-appointment	08/23/2006	08/23/2008		
Enid Spear	Mayoral (Cholla)	Re-appointment	08/23/2006	08/23/2008		
Citizen Bond Election Comm	<u>nittee</u>					
Ron Piceno	Mayoral (Barrel)	Chair Appointment	06/27/2006	02/28/2007		
Rose Jacobson	Cholla	Vice Chair Appointment	06/27/2006	02/28/2007		
Citizens Advisory Commission On Neighborhoods						
Kimberly M. Allen	At-Large (Cactus)	Re-appointment	06/30/2006	06/30/2008		
Magda Nunez	Sahuaro	Appointment	06/30/2006	06/30/2008		
Mike Nave	At-Large (Cholla	Chair Appointment	06/30/2006	06/30/2007		
John H. Kolodziej	Yucca	Vice Chair Appointment	06/30/2006	06/26/2007		
<u>Citizens Bicycle Advisory Committee</u>						
William Wilkinson	Barrel	Appointment	07/25/2006	07/25/2008		

Michael Testa	Yucca (Cactus)	Re-appointment	07/25/2006	07/25/2008		
Betsy Turner	Barrel	Appointment	07/25/2006	07/25/2008		
Commission On Persons Wit	th Disabiliti	<u>es</u>				
Lynn M. Simon	Mayoral (Barrel)	Re-appointment	07/27/2006	07/27/2008		
Margaret A. Kulp	Barrel	Appointment	06/27/2006	02/27/2008		
Housing Advisory Commissi	<u>on</u>					
Carole Jean Marx	At-Large (Barrel)	Re-appointment	07/01/2006	07/01/2008		
Karen Nikoloudakis	At-Large (Cholla)	Appointment	07/01/2006	07/01/2008		
Industrial Development Autl	<u>hority</u>					
Steve Harnden	At-Large (Mayoral) (Cholla)	Re-appointment	08/23/2006	08/23/2012		
Public Safety Personnel Retirement System/Fire Board						
Larry Eickman	At-Large (Mayoral) (Cactus)	Re-appointment	07/01/2006	07/01/2010		
Public Safety Personnel Retirement System/Police Board						
Larry Eickman	At-Large (Mayoral (Cactus)	Re-appointment	07/01/2006	07/01/2010		

<u>Recommendation:</u> Make appointments to the Boards and Commissions and administer the Oaths of Office.

CONSENT AGENDA

Items on the consent agenda are of a routine nature or have been previously studied by the City Council at a work session. They are intended to be acted upon in one motion. If you would like to comment on an item on the consent agenda, please come to the podium and state your name, address and item you wish to discuss.

1. LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-1059 – YARD HOUSE GLENDALE

<u>Purpose:</u> This is a request by Randy D. Nations for City Council to approve a new license application for this Series 12 (Restaurant - All Liquor) license for Yard House Glendale, located at 9401 West Westgate Boulevard.

Background: The approval of this license will increase the total number of liquor licenses in this area by one.

The Planning Department, Police Department, and the Maricopa County Health Department have reviewed the application and determined that it meets all technical requirements.

Public Input: No protests were received during the 20-day posting period.

Recommendation: Forward a recommendation for approval to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for Liquor License Number 3-1059.

2. LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-1062 – FRY'S FOOD & DRUG #6

Purpose: This is a request by Stephen Michael McKinney for City Council to approve a new license application for this Series 9 (Liquor Store - All Liquor) license for Fry's Food & Drug #6, located at 5771 West Thunderbird Road. This Series 9 (Liquor Store - All Liquor) license is a newly issued license through the State Department of Liquor Licenses and Control random selection drawing. This Series 9 (Liquor Store - All Liquor) license will be used as a placeholder until a new Fry's Food & Drug is constructed in the future at a currently undetermined location. Once approved, the existing Series 9 license at this location will be placed on Inactive status.

Background: The approval of this license will increase the total number of liquor licenses in this area by one.

The establishment is over 300 feet from any school or church. The Planning Department, Police Department, and the Maricopa County Health Department have reviewed the application and determined that it meets all technical requirements.

Public Input: No protests were received during the 20-day posting period.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Forward a recommendation for approval to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for Liquor License Number 3-1062.

3. LIQUOR LICENSE NO. 3-1063 – GREAT WALL BUFFET

<u>Purpose:</u> This is a request by Zhao Ying Lin for City Council to approve a new license application for this Series 12 (Restaurant - All Liquor) license for Great Wall Buffet, located at 5905 West Bell Road #6.

<u>Background:</u> The approval of this license will increase the total number of liquor licenses in this area by one.

The Planning Department, Police Department, and the Maricopa County Health Department have reviewed the application and determined that it meets all technical requirements.

Public Input: No protests were received during the 20-day posting period.

Recommendation: Forward a recommendation for approval to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control for Liquor License Number 3-1063.

4. FUNDING FOR GLENDALE AVENUE IMPROVEMENTS

<u>Purpose:</u> This is a request for City Council to approve the expenditure of additional funding in the amount of \$951,987.50 for construction of Glendale Avenue improvements to cover increased construction costs of asphalt, steel, and concrete materials.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> This project meets the Council strategic priorities of creating a city with high quality service for citizens.

Background: The project includes widening Glendale Avenue from 99th Avenue to Glen Harbor Boulevard by five feet on both the north and south sides to provide a dedicated bike route for cyclists along the corridor. Additionally, a six-foot wide concrete walkway will be added to the north and south sides of the New River Bridge for pedestrian and bicycle access. Improvements to the guardrail and impact safety systems to comply with city standards will also be incorporated to ensure motorist, pedestrian, and bicyclist safety.

These improvements will provide access and connectivity to the west valley New River regional trails system planned in the cities of Glendale, Peoria, and Phoenix.

<u>Previous Council/Staff Actions:</u> On October 26, 2004, Council approved a Joint Project Agreement JPA – 04062 with the Arizona Department of Transportation for construction of bicycle improvements on Glendale Avenue. The agreement stated that the federal funds for construction were capped at \$1,225,900 and the Glendale would be responsible for all additional costs associated with the project.

In July 2001, Council approved funds for a design contract for \$288,835 with INCA Engineers, Inc. to complete preliminary and final designs for this project. Council approved an IGA with ADOT to reimburse the city for \$240,000 of the design cost using Federal Congestion Mitigation

and Air Quality (CMAQ) funds in September 2001. This March, Council approved an IGA with the Flood Control District of Maricopa County for development of a pathway system along and across New River between Camelback Road and Northern Avenue.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> These bicycle improvements will increase and enhance transportation options and the riding experience along Glendale Avenue. Additionally, the bike route and bridge crossing will provide a means for cyclists and pedestrians to safely cross New River when traveling on Glendale Avenue. By providing a dedicated bike route and improving the New River crossing, bicyclists and pedestrians will no longer need to share the vehicle travel lanes, thus introducing a safe and convenient means for bicycle and pedestrian travel along Glendale Avenue between Litchfield Road and 67th Avenue, as specified in the city's bicycle plan.

<u>Public Input:</u> This project was originally developed at the request of the Glendale Bicycle Advisory Committee and appeared on the transportation program ballot in November 2001. The design concept report and project plans have been reviewed and presented at regularly scheduled committee meetings. Also, a public meeting was held in March 2002 to discuss the project with area residents. Meeting notices were distributed via flyer to Bicycle Advisory Committee members and a notice was printed in the *Glendale Star* in February 2002. Those in attendance were in support of the project.

Budget Impacts & Costs: The cost of construction of the project is \$2,251,987.50 including construction administration. Funds in the amount of \$1,225,900 are available through a CMAQ grant. Per agreement JPA-04062, the city contributed \$74,100 towards design in October 2004. The remaining city match required is \$951,987.50. To facilitate the payment for the Glendale Avenue bicycle improvements project, transfers are required from Intersection Improvements, Account No. 33-9448-8300 (\$600,000), and 59th Avenue, Account No. 33-9463-8300 (\$351,988), to Bike: Widen Bridge, Glendale/New River Account No. 33-9472-8300 effective in FY 2006-07.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Approve the expenditure of additional funds for construction of Glendale Avenue improvements in the amount not to exceed \$951,987.50.

5. CONTRACT AMENDMENT - BELL ROAD MEDIAN LANDSCAPING, 51^{ST} TO 67^{TH} AVENUES

<u>Purpose:</u> This is a request for City Council to award an amendment to the Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) contract with Achen-Gardner Engineering, LLC for the construction of median landscaping on Bell Road between 51st and 67th avenues.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> This project will help fulfill Council's goal to beautify the major corridors in the city prior to the mega events scheduled in December 2006 and January 2007.

<u>Background:</u> The existing landscaping of the medians on Bell Road between 51st and 67th avenues consists of desert landscaping with cactus and boulders as the primary landscape items. The work included in this amendment will construct medians similar to those west of 67th

Avenue, which have additional trees and shrubs complimenting the surrounding developments. The landscaping design meets the city's design standards for site visibility and safety.

<u>Previous Council/Staff Actions:</u> Council approved the original GMP with Achen-Gardner Engineering, LLC on May 9, 2006.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> Construction of the landscaped medians on Bell Road has been expedited and will improve the overall look of the corridor.

<u>Budget Impacts & Costs:</u> Funds for the construction of the median landscaping are available in FY 2006-07 Beautification of Various Corridors, Account No. 12-6224-8300.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
	X		X		\$661,357.13

Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:

Beautification of Various Corridors, Account No. 12-6224-8300

Recommendation: Approve the amendment to the guaranteed maximum price contract with Achen-Gardner Engineering, LLC in the amount of \$661,357.13.

6. PHASE TWO OF YOUTH SPORTS COMPLEX

Purpose: This is a request for City Council to approve a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) construction contract with Hunter Contracting Company and an amendment to the Wood/Patel design contract for the design and construction of phase two of the Youth Sports Complex located at the northwest corner of 91st Avenue and Bethany Home Road. The complex will be utilized for youth sports and overflow parking for the Arizona Cardinals Football Games and Arizona Sports and Tourism Authority (AZSTA) related events.

<u>Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:</u> The second phase of construction will complete the bleacher seating and sound system planned for the feature field. The site will allow overflow turf parking spaces adjacent to the Arizona Cardinals and AZSTA Facility, and will enhance the quality of life for Glendale youth, provide economic opportunities for the city, and fulfill the city's obligation to meet the turf-parking requirement needed for Cardinals Football Games.

<u>Background:</u> The City and AZSTA agreed to develop and construct a youth and amateur sports and recreational facility adjacent to the AZSTA Multi-Purpose Stadium to be used for community youth and amateur sports and recreation activities when not being used for overflow turf parking spaces during events at the facility.

On July 26, 2005 the AZSTA and the City of Glendale entered into an Intergovernmental Agreement, which provided up to \$1 million in funding from the AZSTA toward the construction of the Youth Sports Complex. The Fiesta Bowl also contributed \$500,000 towards the project. Phase one of the project which includes four practice fields, one feature field, field

goals, a concession building with restrooms, lockers and team room and a scoreboard is scheduled for completion by the end of June 2006.

Staff has been in discussions with Global Spectrum, a Facility Management Company, the Fiesta Bowl, and Pop Warner Football Federation to bring youth sports and special events to the site beginning in August 2006. Three Pop Warner Associations have agreed to utilize the fields for the fall, 2006 season starting in August.

Due to the increased usage and demand for the fields by all organizations there is a need to provide for the bleachers and sound system on the site at this time.

Phase- two of the project would begin design July 1, 2006, with project completion scheduled for December 2006 in time for the Fiesta Bowl activities at the Youth Sports Complex beginning January 1, 2007.

<u>Previous Council/Staff Actions:</u> n July 26, 2005 Council adopted a resolution authorizing the entering into of an Intergovernmental Agreement with the AZSTA to provide funding for the development of the Youth Sports Complex.

On July 26, 2005 Council approved an amendment to a design contract with Wood Patel & Associates to design the Youth Sports Complex. Council also approved a Construction Manager at Risk contract with Hunter Contracting Company for the construction of Phase one of the Youth Sports Complex.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> he benefits to the city include increased sales tax revenues from events planned and provided at the complex, overflow turf parking spaces located adjacent to the major event facility, increase in park inventory, and enhanced youth sports opportunities.

<u>Budget Impacts & Costs:</u> Funds in the amount of \$707,065 are available in Park and Feature Fields, Account No. 01-8217-8330 from a remaining balance of \$12,634 in the account, a transfer of \$117,666 from Special Projects Account, 01-1511-7330, a transfer of \$145,395 in salary savings from the Administrative Services Group and transfers of \$431,370 from four projects in the FY2005-06 pay-as-you-go capital program that have funds available after completion of the required work:

- \$162,141 from Property Acquisition, Account No.01-9622-8100;
- \$247,847 from Citywide Evaluation of ADA Access, Account No. 01-8207;
- \$20,000 from Citywide Pool Bath House Renovations, Account No. 01-8209; and
- \$1,382 from Auditorium Seats, Account No. 01-8215.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
	X		X		\$707,065

Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:

Park and Feature Fields. Account No. 01-8217-8330 – \$707.065

Recommendation: Approve the Guaranteed Maximum Price construction contract with Hunter Contracting Company in the amount of \$627,518 to construct the bleacher seating and sound system for the Youth Sports Complex and amend the design contract with Wood/Patel for \$79,547.

7. RADIO SERVICE AGREEMENT

Purpose: This is a request for City Council to approve the renewal of a two-year radio service agreement with Motorola Incorporated for \$313,381.68.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> The agreement with Motorola Incorporated will provide uninterrupted service and support to the radio network. Therefore, this service agreement supports the dependability of the Police Department's existing voice radio network, ensuring constant communication between police officers and the communications center as well as immediate dispatch of emergency calls. The approval of this contract meets the Council goal of a city with high quality services for citizens.

Background: The City of Glendale and Motorola Incorporated have maintained service agreements on the city's voice radio system for over 20 years.

Budget Impacts & Costs: This is a two-year contract that will expire on June 30, 2008. One half of the service agreement will be paid each fiscal year. The Utilities, Sanitation, Landfill and Transportation departments also use this radio system and each department will pay a portion of the contract amount based on their respective usage of the system through the indirect cost allocation model. Approximately \$57,350 of the \$313,381.68 will be charged to these departments over the two-year service period.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
			X		\$313,381.68

Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:

General Fund, 01-3250-7420, \$313,381.68 (\$156,690.84 in FY07 & FY08)

Recommendation: Authorize the City Manager to enter into an agreement with Motorola Incorporated in the amount of \$313,381.68.

CONSENT RESOLUTIONS

8. 2006 HISTORIC PRESERVATION HERITAGE GRANT APPLICATION RESOLUTION

<u>Purpose:</u> This is a request for the City Council to submit a Historic Preservation Heritage Grant application to prepare a comprehensive building condition assessment for Sahuaro Ranch and to accept the grant if awarded.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> The building assessment implements the Historic Preservation Plan adopted by Council in November 2003 to help protect and preserve historic resources.

Background: The structures of historic Sahuaro Ranch were built between 1891 and 1920. The earliest master plan for the ranch is dated April 1985. In 1993, Woodward Architectural Group completed a comprehensive plan for the future use, interpretation, and management of the ranch. It included a thorough facilities condition assessment report, which is now outdated. There have been many alterations, repairs, restorations, lead abatement activities, and rehabilitation work undertaken during the past decade. The buildings sustained routine wear, damage from thousands of visitors, and deterioration due to harsh weather conditions. Therefore, it is an appropriate time to reevaluate the structural integrity of each of the buildings and develop a five-year capital improvement plan to address whatever needs are identified during the assessment process.

<u>Previous Council/Staff Actions:</u> The Council adopted the November 2003 Historic Preservation Plan, which provides direction for protection and preservation of Glendale's historic properties.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> The preparation of a comprehensive building assessment for historic Sahuaro Ranch will:

- Maintain the ability for cultural tourism to attract visitors to Glendale through a preserved historic Sahuaro Ranch.
- Preserve the heritage of Glendale.

Budget Impacts & Costs: The contractor would survey all buildings at Sahuaro Ranch to reevaluate the structural integrity of each of the buildings. The Historic Preservation Heritage Grant is a matching grant program. The City portion would come from Fund 47. There are no additional staff requirements other than project management.

Grants	Capital Expense	One-Time Cost	Budgeted	Unbudgeted	Total
X			X		\$21,000

Account Name, Fund, Account and Line Item Number:

Fund 47 Matching Grant, 47-7075-7990 \$10,500

Recommendation: Waive reading beyond the title and adopt a Resolution approving the request for the submittal of a Historic Preservation Heritage Grant application, to prepare a comprehensive building assessment for historic Sahuaro Ranch, and to accept the grant if awarded.

9. <u>AMENDMENT NO. 1 TO THE AMENDED AND RESTATED GILA RIVER INDIAN</u> COMMUNITY WATER RIGHTS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

Purpose: This is a request for City Council to adopt a resolution approving and authorizing the entering into of Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Agreement and applicable exhibits.

Background: The Arizona Water Settlements Act, Public Law 108-451 (the "Act") effective December 10, 2004, resolves a number of long-standing issues related to the substantial water rights claims of the Gila River Indian Community ("Community") to the waters of the Gila River.

The Gila River Indian Community ("Community"), its Members, the United States, the City of Glendale ("City") and other named parties have agreed to permanently settle their respective adverse claims to water as set forth in a written agreement consistent with the Act. The City of Glendale, by Resolution No. 3915, New Series, approved and has executed such written agreement, the Amended and Restated Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Agreement (the "Settlement Agreement"). The Settlement Agreement includes various exhibits, several of which are agreements among named parties other than the City whereby such parties agree to settle water rights disputes among themselves and the Community.

The named parties to Exhibit 26.1 (Amended and Restated Agreement Among the Gila River Indian Community, the San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, the United States, and the City of Safford) and to Exhibit 26.2, (Amended and Restated Forbearance Agreement Among the Gila River Indian Community, the United States of America, the San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, and Other Parties Located in the Upper Valley of the Gila River) wish to address certain errors and omissions in their respective agreements by amending such agreements. All signatory parties to the Settlement Agreement must approve the proposed amendments to Exhibits 26.1 and 26.2 in order to assure all terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement and the Exhibits are binding on all parties. The parties to the Settlement Agreement have conferred and agree Amendment No. 1 To The Amended and Restated Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Agreement ("Amendment No.1") sets forth corrections that address solely the errors and omissions to Exhibits 26.1 and 26.2.

<u>Previous Council/Staff Actions:</u> The City of Glendale on December 13, 2005 passed Resolution No. 3915 approving and authorizing the entering into of the Gila River Indian Community Amended and Restated Water Rights Settlement Agreement and applicable exhibits thereto.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> The best interests of the City will be served by consenting to and executing Amendment No. 1 and thereby confirming all terms and conditions of the overall Settlement Agreement will be binding on all settling parties.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution approving and authorizing the entering into of Amendment No. 1 to the Amended and Restated Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Agreement and applicable exhibits thereto.

10. GILA RIVER GENERAL STREAM ADJUDICATION LEGAL REPRESENTATION

<u>Purpose:</u> This is a request for the City Council to authorize the entering into of the Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) and Contract for Legal Services with the cities of Avondale, Chandler, Mesa and Scottsdale to jointly engage outside counsel to represent their common interest in Gila River General Stream Adjudication.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> Protecting the surface water rights of the City of Glendale in the Gila River watershed by joining the cities of Avondale, Chandler, Mesa and Scottsdale in the proceeding known as the Gila River General Stream Adjudication ("the Adjudication").

<u>Background:</u> On January 6, 1992, the five cities of Glendale, Mesa, Chandler, Scottsdale and Tempe entered into an IGA for legal representation in the Gila River General Stream Adjudication; Tempe subsequently withdrew from the IGA. The cities of Glendale, Chandler, Mesa and Scottsdale entered into subsequent IGAs on an annual basis to retain such legal representation. The city of Avondale is now joining the cities and consequently the costs will now be shared by five municipalities.

The City of Glendale by Resolution No. 3711 passed on October 14, 2003, approved and subsequently entered into the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Agreement. The Arizona Water Settlements Act, Public Law 108-451 (the "Act"), effective December 10, 2004, requires that the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Agreement be amended to conform with the Act, as one of the conditions to Congressional authorization for federal actions required under that settlement agreement. The parties to the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Agreement have met and agreed to redraft that agreement to meet the requirements of the Act, and to title the new agreement the Gila River Indian Community Amended and Restated Water Rights Settlement Agreement. The City of Glendale on October 14, 2003 passed Resolution No. 3711 approving and subsequently entering into the Gila River Indian Community Water Rights Settlement Agreement.

Previous Council/Staff Actions: The City of Glendale on July 26, 2005 authorized the entering into of the Sixteenth Intergovernmental Agreement and Contract for Legal Services with the cities of Chandler, Mesa and Scottsdale to jointly engage outside counsel to represent their common interests in Gila River General Stream Adjudication.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> The Gila River General Stream Adjudication is an extremely important legal case that will adjudicate the surface water rights of the cities in the Gila River watershed with the best possible service for the least possible costs.

Recommendation: Waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution authorizing the entering into of the Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) and Contract for Legal Services with the cities of Avondale, Chandler, Mesa and Scottsdale to jointly engage outside counsel to represent their common interest in Gila River General Stream Adjudication.

11. LUKE AIR FORCE BASE BRIDGE OVER LITCHFIELD ROAD

Purpose: This is a request for City Council to adopt a resolution authorizing the entering into of a Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) with the United States of America, Department of Air Force for the alteration and new construction of the Luke Air Force Base (LAFB) bridge over Litchfield Road at the main gate entrance.

<u>Council Policies Or Goals Addressed:</u> This agreement supports the Council's goals of ensuring public safety and creating transportation options.

<u>Background:</u> In an effort to improve base security, the Department of Air Force is minimizing entry control points into LAFB. Currently, Litchfield Road bisects the base, preventing unimpeded passage from one side to another. Several options were considered including closure of Litchfield Road from Glendale to Northern avenues, and constructing a bridge over Litchfield Road. After discussions with city staff, it has been determined that the best solution is to create an overhead crossing and grade separated intersection carrying the base's Thunderbird Street over the city's Litchfield Road. As part of this agreement, LAFB has agreed to grant the city a perpetual easement for public road purposes.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> Constructing this bridge ensures that the road will remain open to the public and to emergency response vehicles.

<u>Public Input:</u> LAFB has held meetings to present the proposed project to the public surrounding the base. There have also been stakeholder meetings with the various government agencies involved to discuss the proposed project.

Budget Impacts & Costs: There are no direct costs to the city as a result of this agreement.

Recommendation: Waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution authorizing the entering into of a Memorandum of Agreement with the United States of America, Department of Air Force for the Bridge over Litchfield Road.

12. THUNDERBIRD CONSERVATION PARK MASTER PLAN

<u>Purpose:</u> This is a request for City Council to approve a resolution adopting the Thunderbird Conservation Park Master Plan.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> The Thunderbird Conservation Park Master Plan addresses the Council's strategic goal of providing high quality services for citizens.

The 2002 Parks and Recreation Master Plan recommended that a master plan be completed to protect and manage Thunderbird Conservation Park.

Background: Based upon the 2002 Parks and Recreation Master Plan recommendation to develop a master plan for Thunderbird Conservation Park, the city and the community began the process to develop a master plan for the park in 2004. That process has included a wide-range of public input, surveys of both the community and park users, studies and analysis of the park site.

The master plan is a general long-range planning tool that will guide staff and the community in protecting and managing Thunderbird Conservation Park. The key areas addressed in development of the plan included park use, standards for maintenance, and park management practices.

In September 2005, a draft of the preliminary master plan was presented to the community. At that time, public feedback indicated the need to reconsider the recommendations for the plan. Since then, additional steps were taken to provide opportunities for public input and collect additional park information.

As a result, the recommendations for changes to the plan are based on the following priorities: limit park improvements; repair/replace restrooms; provide safe drinking water; provide a safe and clean park; improve signage for parking, rules and regulations, trail markers, and plant and wildlife identification; provide shade and picnic areas; provide areas for interpretive education discussions; provide parking but limit expansion; enhance and preserve wildlife; maintain existing trails; connect trails, and restore areas with native vegetation, as well as preserve native vegetation already in the park.

<u>Previous Council/Staff Actions:</u> The master plan recommendations were presented and discussed at the June 6, 2006 City Council Workshop.

In September 2005, the draft preliminary plan previously reviewed by the Park and Recreation Commission in 2004 was presented to the public. At that time, public feedback indicated the need to reconsider the recommendations for the plan. During the past nine months, additional steps were taken to provide opportunities for public input through on-site surveys of park users, observation of uses and demands on the park, examination of other area mountain preserves and parks, and additional public meetings.

The draft of the preliminary master plan was presented to the Parks and Recreation Commission in June 2004. However, the Thunderbird Conservation Park Master Plan was postponed until the 59th Avenue Road design public process was completed in early 2005.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> The Thunderbird Conservation Park Master Plan is intended to protect and manage the resources of the park. It will be the guide for accomplishing the mission of insuring that the park continues to be cared for and utilized as identified by the community

<u>Public Input:</u> The community has been involved in the development of a master plan to address park use, standards for care and maintenance, and best management practices for the park. The

process has been lengthy, but it has involved extensive public input through a series of public meetings, Park and Recreation Commission presentations and public comment, surveys of the community and park users, tours of other area mountain parks, and various park site studies.

As a result of the public process, parks and recreation staff presented the proposed conceptual changes to the Thunderbird Conservation Preliminary Master Plan at the April 10, 2006 Parks and Recreation Commission Meeting. Two public meetings were also held on April 26 and 29, 2006 to present and review the proposed changes to the plan.

Staff presented the Preliminary Master Plan Executive Summary to the Parks and Recreation Commission at its May 8, 2006 meeting. The Commission unanimously approved the proposed changes to the plan and directed staff to proceed with completion of the master plan.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Waive reading beyond the title and approve a resolution adopting the Thunderbird Conservation Park Master Plan.

13. NOTICE OF SEPTEMBER 12, 2006 PRIMARY ELECTION

<u>Purpose:</u> This is a request for City Council to adopt a resolution that will inform voters of the Primary election to be held September 12, 2006, as well as establishing polling place hours, locations and the compensation paid to election workers, pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 16-228. This election will refer to the voters the candidates for the Cholla, Barrel and Ocotillo council seats and two propositions.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> Municipal elections promote increased citizen involvement in all aspects of municipal government.

Previous Council/Staff Actions: On April 11, 2006, the Council passed resolution No. 3948 New Series referring two propositions dealing with the Mayor and Councilmembers' salaries to the voters.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution providing notice of the September 12, 2006 Primary Election, pursuant to A.R.S. Sec. 16-228.

PUBLIC HEARING - ORDINANCES

14. FISCAL YEAR 2006-07 PROPERTY TAX LEVY

Purpose: This is a request for City Council to set the property taxes for FY 2006-07, conduct a public hearing, and adopt an ordinance setting the FY 2006-07 primary property tax rate at \$0.2925 per \$100 of assessed valuation and the secondary property tax rate at \$1.4275 per \$100 of assessed valuation. The total property tax rate will be \$1.72. The total property tax rate has not changed since FY 2000-01.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> The setting of the property tax rates for FY 2006-07 is consistent with the Council's goal of ensuring the city's financial stability.

<u>Background:</u> Arizona state law requires Council to set the property tax levy by the third Monday in August.

Arizona's state property tax levy consists of two tiers. The primary property tax levy has statemandated maximum limits, but it can be used by a city for any lawful purpose. It is the primary property tax revenue that is included in the General Fund. The secondary property tax levy is not limited, but it can be used only to retire the principal and interest on a municipality's General Obligation debt.

All Truth in Taxation requirements of A.R.S. 42-17107 have been met and the primary property tax levy has not increased except for an amount attributable to new construction.

<u>Previous Council/Staff Actions:</u> The city's projected primary property tax revenue for FY 2006-07, which was based on the proposed primary property tax rate for FY 2006-07, was discussed in the March 2, 2006, City Manager's memo conveying the FY 2006-07 City Manager's Recommended Operating Budget to City Council. This memo and the recommended budget detail were distributed to Council in printed form on March 3, 2006.

The city's proposed secondary property tax rate for FY 2006-07 was discussed at the April 4, 2006 Council budget workshop as part of the FY 2007-16 preliminary capital improvement program (CIP) discussion.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> Glendale's budget is an important financial, planning and public communication tool. It gives residents and businesses a clear and concrete view of the city's direction for public services, operations and capital facilities and equipment. It also provides the community with a better understanding of the city's ongoing needs for stable revenue sources to fund public services, ongoing operations and capital facilities and equipment.

The budget also provides Council and residents a means to evaluate the city's financial stability.

<u>Public Input:</u> The FY 2006-07 preliminary operating budget and FY 2007-16 preliminary capital budget were presented to Council on June 13, 2006. Council also conducted a public hearing on June 13, 2006, on the FY 2006-07 preliminary operating budget and FY 2007-16 preliminary capital budget, adopted a resolution accepting them and gave notice of the date June 27, 2006, for a public hearing on setting the property tax levy.

Summary information regarding the preliminary operating and capital budgets presented to Council on June 13, 2006, was published in the *Glendale Star* on June 15, 2006, and June 22, 2006.

Budget Impacts & Costs: It is estimated that the FY 2006-07 primary property tax rate will general approximately \$3.8 million and the secondary property tax rate will generate approximately \$19.6 million for a total of approximately \$23.4 million in FY 2006-07.

Recommendation: Conduct a public hearing, waive reading beyond the title and adopt an ordinance setting the FY 2006-07 primary property tax rate at \$0.2925 per \$100 of assessed valuation and the secondary property tax rate at \$1.4275 per \$100 of assessed valuation, for a total property tax rate of \$1.72.

15. <u>REZONING APPLICATION ZON04-13: COPPER COVE – 9251 WEST MISSOURI AVENUE</u>

Purpose: This is a request for City Council to approve Rezoning Application ZON04-13, located at 9251 West Missouri Avenue. The applicant is requesting to rezone from Agricultural (A-1) to Single Residence and Planned Residential Development (R1-4 and R1-10PRD). The applicant intends to develop a single-family residential neighborhood called "Copper Cove."

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> The proposed rezoning is consistent with the existing General Plan designations of 5 to 8 dwelling units per acre and 1 to 2.5 dwelling units per acre. The proposed neighborhood would add to the city's housing stock, provide a variety of housing units, and utilize existing infrastructure to support development.

Background: Copper Cove consists of two distinct neighborhoods. The southern 40 acres consists of 200 single-family homes with a density of 4.9 dwelling units per acre. The minimum lot size is 4,200 square feet. The northern 18 acres consists of 36 single-family homes at a density of 2.0 dwelling units per acre. The minimum lot size is 11,700 square feet.

<u>Previous Council/Staff Actions:</u> The Planning Commission recommended approval of rezoning application ZON04-13 on April 20, 2006 with five stipulations. At the same hearing, the Planning Commission approved Preliminary Plat PP04-04 for the subdivision.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> The proposed project provides additional housing stock in a growing portion of the city. The smaller lot neighborhood provides a land use transition from Copper Canyon High School to the east and planned commercial development to the west. The larger lot neighborhood provides a transition from the high school to a larger lot neighborhood to the north. The neighborhood will be located in close proximity to the emerging employment and entertainment corridor along the Loop 101 Freeway, located less than one mile to the west.

Public Input: The Planning Commission conducted a public hearing on this item on April 20, 2006. One neighbor to the north spoke in support of the application. One neighbor located to the east spoke in opposition to the application.

On November 30, 2005 a neighborhood meeting was held by the applicant. Issues discussed included home sizes, home prices, project phasing, and access to 95th and Missouri avenues. The first neighborhood meeting was held on September 3, 2005. The applicant invited 450 neighbors to the meeting, 29 attended. Similar issues were discussed at this meeting.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Conduct a public hearing, waive reading beyond the title, and adopt the ordinance for Rezoning Application ZON04-13, subject to the stipulations recommended by the Planning Commission.

16. REZONING APPLICATION ZON05-25: 17248 NORTH 61ST AVENUE

Purpose: This is a request by Darwin Bushong for Council to approve Rezoning Application ZON05-25 located at 17248 North 61st Avenue. The applicant is requesting to rezone 2.48 acres of land from Agricultural (A-1) to Rural Residential (RR-45). The applicant intends to divide the property into two lots, a minimum of 45,000 square feet each.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> Approval of this request would bring the underlying zoning into conformance with the General Plan land use designation of 0 to 1 dwelling units per acre.

Background: The applicant intends to divide the property into two single-family lots, each a minimum of 45,000 square feet. The property was initially zoned A-1 upon annexation into the City of Glendale on September 11, 1979. It is approximately 328-feet wide by 330-feet deep. Access to the property is provided by a 25-foot wide private easement along the north side of the adjacent parcel that connects to 61st Avenue.

Previous Council/Staff Actions: The Planning Commission recommended approval of ZON05-25 on April 20, 2006.

<u>Public Input:</u> The applicant sent 41 notification letters for this project on October 11, 2005. Neither the applicant nor the Planning Department received any response to the applicant's notification letters. The applicant received no inquiries about the proposed rezoning.

A public notice for tonight's hearing was published in the *Glendale Star* on June 1, 2006. The property was posted on June 6, 2006 and letters were mailed to 41 property owners on June 6, 2006.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Conduct a public hearing, waive reading beyond the title, and adopt an ordinance for Rezoning Application ZON05-25 as recommended by the Planning Commission.

17. <u>REZONING APPLICATION ZON05-23: 91 GLENDALE - 9050 WEST GLENDALE AVENUE</u>

<u>Purpose:</u> This is a request by Beus Gilbert, PLLC for City Council to rezone a 22-acre site from Agricultural (A-1) to Planned Area Development (PAD) to accommodate a mixed-use office complex, known as 91 Glendale. At this time, Wells Fargo Bank is the planned anchor tenant.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> The proposed rezoning is consistent with the General Plan. The proposed development plan will create a mixed-use development, which will create employment and dining opportunities for city residents and an increase in local revenue.

<u>Background:</u> The property is currently vacant. The applicant intends to construct a mixed-use development including restaurants, a financial institution, and professional office buildings.

The developer proposes three multi-story professional office buildings. The two buildings adjacent to 91st and 89th avenues, will be two stories tall and will consist of approximately 51,000 square feet and 33,000 square feet respectively. The center building is planned to be three stories in height and will consist of approximately 112,000 square feet. Also included is a bank site, with drive-thru, at the corner of 91st and Glendale avenues and two freestanding restaurant buildings at 5,600 and 4,400 square feet.

<u>Previous Council/Staff Actions:</u> On May 18, 2006 the Planning Commission recommended approval of the rezoning application ZON05-23, subject to six stipulations.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> The project promotes the development of vacant property and will provide professional employment opportunities for this area of Glendale.

Public Input: The applicant held a neighborhood meeting, on February 22, 2006. Of the 308 property owners and interested parties invited, six people attended this meeting. Concerns discussed included building heights in proximity to planned residential homes to the north, traffic on 89th Avenue, drainage and water retention, and landscaping. Since that time the applicant has addressed all concerns.

A public notice was published in the *Glendale Star* on June 1, 2006. The property was posted on June 2, 2006. Letters were mailed to 308 property owners and interested parties on June 2, 2006.

Recommendation: Conduct a public hearing, waive reading beyond the title, and adopt an ordinance for Rezoning Application ZON05-23 subject to the stipulations as recommended by the Planning Commission.

18. <u>REZONING APPLICATION ZON05-20: WOOLF CROSSING – 15551 WEST OLIVE AVENUE</u>

Purpose: This is a request by Earl, Curley & Lagarde, P.C. for the City Council to approve Rezoning Application ZON05-20 for a property located at 15551 West Olive Avenue. The applicant is requesting to rezone from Agricultural (A-1) to Planned Area Development (PAD). The name of the proposed development is "Woolf Crossing."

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> The proposed development is compatible with the Luke Compatible Land Uses, Low Density Residential, and Entertainment Mixed Use land use designations of the General Plan. Additionally, the proposed rezone is consistent with the Economic Development and Growth Areas elements of the General Plan.

Background: The applicant intends to develop 738 acres with a planned community that will include residential, commercial, industrial, open space, and educational land uses on 13 parcels.

<u>Previous Council/Staff Actions:</u> On May 18, 2006, the Planning Commission recommended approval of the rezoning application, subject to 20 stipulations. Five of these stipulations have been incorporated into the revised PAD book and are no longer necessary.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> The proposal will create a mixed-use project that incorporates residential, commercial, and industrial land uses to provide a greater variety and intensity of uses. The project will increase local revenue, provide a sound location for commercial uses in the Loop 303 corridor, and allow for a planned residential subdivision to support the commercial and industrial land uses.

<u>Public Input:</u> The applicant sent 153 notification letters to property owners within 600 feet of the site and to interested parties on November 9, 2005. Neither the applicant nor the Planning Department received any response to the applicant's notification letters.

A public notice for the May 18, 2006 Planning Commission hearing on this application was published in the *Glendale Star* on April 27, 2006. The property was posted on April 20, 2006. Letters were mailed to 153 property owners and interested parties on April 28, 2006. No one attended the public hearing.

A public notice for tonight's hearing was published in the *Glendale Star* on June 1, 2006. The property was posted on April 20, 2006. Post cards were mailed to 153 property owners and interested parties on June 2, 2006.

Recommendation: Conduct a public hearing, waive reading beyond the title, adopt an Ordinance, and approve Rezoning Application ZON05-20, as recommended by Planning Commission.

ORDINANCES

19. ANNEXATION AREA NO. 160 ORDINANCE

<u>Purpose:</u> This is a request for City Council to adopt annexation ordinance for Annexation Area No. 160, which consists of 738 acres located at the southeast corner of Loop 303 and Olive Avenue.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> This annexation request supports the strategic goals of:

- Strong Neighborhoods the area to be annexed will be the future home to one of Glendale's strong neighborhoods in the far west area of the city.
- Quality Economic Development a significant amount of acreage will be available for potential sales tax revenue generating activities as well as employment areas.
- Maintaining an Active Partnership with Luke Air Force Base active coordination and review processes will be completed with Luke Air Force Base to ensure compatibility of future land uses with the continuing mission of the Base.
- High Quality Services for Citizens annexation of this area adjacent to Loop 303 provides an opportunity for the city to establish emergency response services to the future residents, retailers, and employers that will locate in this portion of Glendale.

Background: The 738-acre site is located at the southeast corner of Loop 303 and Olive Avenue within the Glendale strip annexation boundary. This site will be the second location west of Luke Air Force Base to annex into the city. One family owns the property and signatures have been gathered in support of annexation.

The property is currently being farmed and contains no population at this time. The initial City of Glendale zoning for the property will be A-1 (Agricultural). Private utility companies already serving or expanding into the area will provide utilities to this site. The city will be responsible for providing police, fire and emergency response services, as well as sanitation services when development occurs on the site.

Previous Council/Staff Actions: The Council conducted a public hearing on January 10, 2006 on the blank annexation petition for Annexation Area No. 160 as required by State Statute.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> Annexation of this site would require that any future development of this area meet the Glendale General Plan requirements, as well as all other development standards for the City of Glendale. The city will benefit from additional employment opportunities, future sales tax revenue, as well as additional population from the development of this property.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Waive reading beyond the title and adopt an ordinance increasing the Glendale city limits, as defined in the annexation ordinance for Annexation Area No. 160.

20. SALT RIVER PROJECT ELECTRICAL EASEMENTS

Purpose: This is a request for City Council to adopt an ordinance granting the following easements in favor of Salt River Project (SRP); (1) underground power distribution easement east of 83rd avenue within the new right-of-way of Bethany Home Road; (2) underground power distribution easement at on the east side on Zanjero Boulevard, south of Cabela Drive; and (3) electrical transmission line easement along the east side of 67th Avenue, across Glendale Avenue

<u>Background:</u> The realignment of Bethany Home Road at 83rd Avenue requires SRP to relocate its existing power distribution facilities to accommodate this construction.

To provide electrical service to the Cabela's building, SRP needs a tie-in to its electrical facilities located on the east side of Zanjero Boulevard, approximately 300 feet south of Cabela Drive.

Roadway improvements at 67th and Glendale avenues require SRP to relocate its electrical transmission lines and poles to accommodate this construction.

These easements are necessary to protect SRP's existing land rights and facilities in the new locations.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Waive reading beyond the title and adopt an ordinance authorizing the City Manager to execute the easements in favor of Salt River Project.

PUBLIC HEARING – RESOLUTIONS

21. <u>FINAL REVIEW AND ADOPTION OF FISCAL YEAR 2006-07 OPERATING BUDGET</u> AND FISCAL YEAR 2007-2016 CAPITAL BUDGET

Purpose: This is a request for City Council to review and approve the FY 2006-07 final operating budget and FY 2007-2016 final capital budget, conduct a public hearing, and adopt a resolution formally approving the operating and capital budgets for FY 2006-07.

<u>Council Strategic Goals Or Key Objectives Addressed:</u> The budget incorporates the Council's strategic goals and key objectives while ensuring the city's financial stability by presenting realistic analyses about the provision of city services and future revenue expectations.

The foundation is Council's vision of 'one community' and the supporting strategic goals and key objectives, which are identified below:

- 1. Supporting strong neighborhoods,
- 2. Fostering quality economic development,
- 3. Continuing the development of a vibrant city center,
- 4. Maintaining an active partnership with Luke Air Force Base,
- 5. Providing high quality services for citizens, and
- 6. Continuing with fiscally sound financial management practices.

The recommended budgets address these strategic goals and objectives. They also identify other community needs, with public safety having a high priority, while also focusing on fiscal responsibility. The intent of the recommended budgets is to better provide quality city services that support a high quality of life for the entire Glendale community. The recommended budgets also reflect continued investment in the community as a result of Council's prudent direction.

Background: The operating budget reflects Council-approved memorandums of understanding with both police and fire personnel to ensure Glendale obtains and retains the most qualified staff to provide public safety services to our residential and business communities. In addition, Council approved pay adjustments for the rest of city staff to ensure Glendale remains competitive in recruiting and retaining the best-qualified staff. The pay adjustments continue to be based on a market survey of other Valley cities. Overall, Council approved the addition of \$5.2M to the General Fund (GF) base budget to implement these important pay adjustments.

In keeping with the strategy of addressing staffing issues as part of the FY 2006-07 budget, Council added a total of 115.5 new positions, with 82.5 positions added to the General Fund base budget and 33 positions added to the base budget of other funds. Another 13 positions were added mid-year FY 2006 as a result of a federal grant. Steadily increasing demands for city services have occurred over the past several years as Glendale's population continues to grow, especially with the rapid transformation of Glendale's western area. Now ongoing revenue sources have increased sufficiently to support additional staff. Examples of some of the positions added to the FY 2006-07 budget are explained below.

- As a result of additional staff for the Police Department as well as civilianization of several positions, 31 officers will be deployed to provide direct services to the Glendale community. Some of the additional ongoing staffing for the Police Department includes 22 new patrol officer positions, which includes the 13 officer positions attributable to the federal government's COPS universal hiring grant awarded early in 2006, and a training academy officer. The civilian positions include three accident investigators, one impound administrator, one identification technician, one communication specialist, one detention officer, one research and planning analyst, one stadium events coordinator, one stadium events scheduler, and three case managers to be assigned to the criminal investigations bureau.
- The Fire Department will see the addition of 16 new, ongoing positions, including 14 firefighter positions, two of which will be dedicated to the new training facility, as well as two fire inspector positions. Included in this new staffing is phase II of the Rapid Response Team, an urban rescue team trained to respond to threats or acts of terrorism, a program that Council approved in July 2004 when the city formally accepted a federal homeland security grant.
- The Homeland Security Department will receive six new, ongoing positions, including staff needed to start up and operate the Emergency Operations Center as it opens in FY 2006-07.
- The City Attorney's Office will receive six new, ongoing positions, with all being assigned to the City Prosecutor's Office, to ensure compliance with city codes that promote public health and safety, eliminate conditions that contribute to blight and deterioration, and preserve the quality of life in neighborhoods.
- The staffing of the Parks and Recreation Department will be supplemented by the addition of 11 new, ongoing positions, including nine positions for the Foothills Recreation and Aquatic Center.

Aside from increases to staffing levels, other additions to the FY 2006-07 operating budget include the following:

- Approximately \$1.6M in one-time funds to address specialized equipment needs of the Police Department, as well as overtime, some vehicle maintenance cost increases that may not be of an ongoing nature, and recruitment costs for new positions;
- Approximately \$2M in one-time funds to replace specialized fire equipment used to provide direct services to the Glendale community, provide additional paramedic training in advanced life support, and add another rescue medic unit so engine and ladder companies can improve their response and reliability performance for real fire situations;
- Approximately \$3M in funds for the city's pavement management program, an amount that is \$750,000 or 33% more than the FY 2005-06 allocation of \$2.25M; and

• \$700,000 for the Neighborhood Improvement Grants program to provide capital improvements to various neighborhoods throughout the city such as right-of-way landscaping enhancements and neighborhood entryways.

The principal issue Glendale faces in FY 2006-07 is related to the status as host city for the national Fiesta Bowl and first-ever Bowl Championship Game. These two events are coming to Glendale for the first time in FY 2006-07. The costs associated with providing public safety services and other city services to these world-class sports facilities can be significant. To prepare the city for this situation, Council set aside a total of \$7M in a National Events Fund (\$1M in FY 2004-05 plus \$5M in FY 2005-06 plus \$1M in FY 2006-07) for the 2007 Fiesta Bowl and Bowl Championship Series events plus the 2008 Super Bowl and related events.

On the capital side, construction on phase I of the Public Safety Training Facility and the Emergency Operations Center is expected to continue into FY 2006-07, with both facilities opening after the fiscal year begins. Construction on a new facility for Fire Station 151 is expected to commence in FY 2006-07. Fire Station 151 will be moving from its current location in a residential neighborhood to a new location that should facilitate better response times with less disruption to residential neighbors. Also underway are studies for a downtown parking garage and new police and fire administration facilities in the center city area.

In the emerging sports, entertainment, retail, commercial, and residential corridor in west Glendale, construction has begun on a privately financed Marriott Renaissance Hotel and Spa. Other facilities to be constructed in conjunction with this hotel project are an 80,000 square foot conference center and parking garage, a first-class Media Center, and a new Cable Studio for the city's cable station, KGLN 11. Numerous water, wastewater, and sewer capital projects are also scheduled for FY 2006-07 as the city continues to devote the necessary resources to maintain and upgrade the city's potable water system and sanitary sewer system. Overall, the FY 2006-07 capital budget reflects the city's commitment to making the capital investments needed to meet the needs of the Glendale residential and business community.

The FY 2006-07 GF revenue projection is fiscally prudent and reasonable and is linked to forecasts by experts on the Arizona economy. The consensus among these experts is that next year will see a continuation of the vibrant, strong economy we are experiencing today. Nevertheless, a cautious approach was taken in establishing the GF revenue projection for FY 2006-07.

An indication of the strength in today's economy is the growth Glendale has experienced with GF ongoing revenue. Between FY 2001-02 and FY 2004-05, GF ongoing revenue increased 26% or \$30.2M. City sales tax revenue increased 27% or \$11.1M over the same period of time, with state-shared revenue rising 6% or \$2.8M.

These ongoing revenue sources have continued to grow in the current fiscal year. For example, FY 2005-06 state-shared revenue is expected to come in \$5.2M or 10.5% ahead of collections in FY 2004-05. The city is well on its way to meeting that expectation. At the end of nine months, FY 2005-06 state-shared revenue source is already \$5.7M or almost 16% ahead of collections in FY 2004-05.

The story is the same for city sales tax receipts. FY 2005-06 city sales tax revenue is expected to come in \$6.2M or 12% ahead of collections in FY 2004-05. Again, the city is well on its way to meeting that expectation. At the end of nine months, FY 2005-06 receipts are more than \$4M or 10% ahead of FY 2004-05 collections for the same period. As with state-shared revenue, city sales tax collections typically are higher during the last half of the fiscal year.

While the city has experienced some outstanding rates of growth in its ongoing GF revenue over the past few years, the FY 2006-07 GF revenue projection reflects continued but more moderate growth. Specifically, the GF ongoing revenue projection assumes a cautious growth rate of 4.3%, which equates to \$6.8M more than the GF revenue we expect to collect this fiscal year. For city sales tax, the projection reflects a 5.9% growth rate. For state-shared revenue, the projection reflects a 4% growth rate after adjusting for the expected impact of the mid-decade census on the distribution of state-shared revenues.

The city's revenue is expected to continue growing in FY 2006-07 as the phase I development of the Westgate project is expected to open its committed retail, restaurant, and entertainment venues totaling approximately 500,000 square feet. In addition, development in and around Glendale's professional sports facilities is moving forward at a rapid pace. Of particular note is the southwest region's first Cabela's store that is expected to open in late summer 2006 in the Zanjero development. Complementary tourist businesses, such as hotels and restaurants, are also coming out of the ground in the Zanjero development.

The realized and anticipated growth in ongoing revenue is significant because it means Glendale can support and maintain quality, ongoing city services to sustain a high quality of life for the Glendale community. This kind of healthy growth is a result of the economic development strategies that are based on Council's guidance. These economic development strategies have led to Glendale's strong financial status that positions us for a bright future.

Previous Council/Staff Actions: The FY 2006-07 preliminary operating budget and FY 2007-16 preliminary capital budget were presented to Council on June 13, 2006. Council also conducted a public hearing on June 13, 2006, on the FY 2006-07 preliminary operating budget and FY 2007-16 preliminary capital budget, adopted a resolution accepting them and gave notice of the date June 27, 2006, for a public hearing on setting the property tax levy.

Prior to June 2006, a series of five budget workshops were conducted in March and April 2006 to review the proposed operating and capital budgets.

<u>Community Benefit:</u> Glendale's budget is an important financial, planning and public communication tool. It gives residents and businesses a clear and concrete view of the city's direction for public services, operations and capital facilities and equipment. It also provides the community with a better understanding of the city's ongoing needs for stable revenue sources to fund public services, ongoing operations and capital facilities and equipment. Most importantly, the budget also provides Council and residents a means to evaluate the city's financial stability.

<u>Public Input:</u> The FY 2006-07 preliminary operating budget and FY 2007-16 preliminary capital budget were presented to Council on June 13, 2006. Council also conducted a public hearing on June 13, 2006, on the FY 2006-07 preliminary operating budget and FY 2007-16 preliminary capital budget, adopted a resolution accepting them and gave notice of the date June 27, 2006, for a public hearing on setting the property tax levy.

Summary information regarding the preliminary operating and capital budgets presented to Council on June 13, 2006, was published in the Glendale Star on June 15, 2006, and June 22, 2006. As of Friday, June 9, 2006, the Preliminary FY2006-07 Annual Budget Book is also available on line at www.glendaleaz.com.

All budget workshops were open to the public and were posted publicly per state requirements.

<u>Budget Impacts & Costs:</u> The annual budget (all funds) for the City of Glendale is divided into four major components, which include all appropriations for the city. The total budget, including all four components is \$913 million.

The four components and their respective total amounts for FY 2006-07 are as follows:

- The operating budget finances the day-to-day provision of city services and totals \$337 million (all funds);
- The capital improvement budget funds the construction of city facilities, such as roads and buildings, and totals \$435 million (all funds) for FY 2006-07;
- The debt service budget is used to repay money the city has borrowed, primarily for capital improvements, and totals \$53 million (all funds); and
- The final component of the budget is the contingency appropriation, which is made up of funds reserves and is available to cover emergency expenses or revenue shortages, and totals \$88 million (all funds) for FY 2006-07.

The budget includes revenue estimates totaling \$637.4 million (all sources). Total uses of all fund balances, including proceeds from bonds previously sold, total approximately 275.6 million.

The primary property tax rate will be set at \$0.2925 and the secondary property tax rate will be set at \$1.4275, for a total of \$1.72. The total property tax rate has not changed since FY 2000-01. All Truth in Taxation requirements of A.R.S. 42-17107 have been met and the primary property tax levy has not increased except for the amount attributable to new construction.

Recommendation: Conduct a public hearing on the FY 2006-07 final operating budget and the FY 2007-16 final capital budget, waive reading beyond the title and adopt a resolution formally approving the operating and capital budgets for FY 2006-07.

REQUEST FOR FUTURE WORKSHOP AND EXECUTIVE SESSION

CITIZEN COMMENTS

If you wish to speak on a matter concerning Glendale city government that is not on the printed agenda, please fill out a Citizen Comments Card located in the back of the Council Chambers and give it to the City Clerk before the meeting starts. The City Council can only act on matters that are on the printed agenda, but may refer the matter to the City Manager for follow up. Once your name is called by the Mayor, proceed to the podium, state your name and address for the record and limit your comments to a period of five minutes or less.

COUNCIL COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

ADJOURNMENT

Upon a public majority vote of a quorum of the City Council, the Council may hold an executive session, which will not be open to the public, regarding any item listed on the agenda but only for the following purposes:

- (i) discussion or consideration of personnel matters (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(1));
- (ii) discussion or consideration of records exempt by law from public inspection (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(2));
- (iii) discussion or consultation for legal advice with the city's attorneys (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(3));
- (iv) discussion or consultation with the city's attorneys regarding the city's position regarding contracts that are the subject of negotiations, in pending or contemplated litigation, or in settlement discussions conducted in order to avoid or resolve litigation (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(4));
- (v) discussion or consultation with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations with employee organizations (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(5)); or
- (vi) discussing or consulting with designated representatives of the city in order to consider its position and instruct its representatives regarding negotiations for the purchase, sale or lease of real property (A.R.S. §38-431.03 (A)(7)).